

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 08/865,419 | 05/28/1997 | STEPHEN B. AUGER | CLINK0002 | 8405 |
| 7590 02/01/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Cathryn Campbell | | | EINSMANN, MARGARET V | |
| McSermott, Will & Emery 4370 La Jolla Village Drive | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 7TH Floor San Diego, CA 92122 | | | 1751 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | UL/ |
|--|---|--|
| | Application No. Applicant(s) | |
| Al d' CAbaadaanaad | 08/865,419 | AUGER, STEPHEN B. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Margaret Einsmann | 1751 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | correspondence address |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | · |
| | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | empt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee ar from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL- | | n the statutory period of three months |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85). | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | ce of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has r | not been received. | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra | nsmission dated), which is |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | ne attorney or agent of record, the as | signee of the entire interest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repre | sentative capacity under 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferon of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla | | se the period for seeking court review |
| 7. Mathematical The reason(s) below: | | |
| Failure to timely file an appeal brief. | | |
| | | 0 |
| | • | Margaret Ensmann |
| | | Margaret Einsmann |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1751 |